

How does Branding Influence the Stock Performance

Yahan Sun

HD Shanghai School, Shanghai, 201613, China

Corresponding Author: Yahan Sun, Email: una_syh@163.com

Abstract

Brand innovation is recognized as a key intangible asset that influences customer perceptions, strengthens brand equity, and shapes financial outcomes. Despite its growing importance, it is not known the theoretical connection between brand innovation and stock market performance, particularly in the context of emerging technologies and varying market dynamics.

This paper analyzes the effect of brand innovation on stock performance, positioning brand innovation as a pivotal intangible asset in shaping a firm's financial outcomes. Drawing from the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Signaling Theory, it conceptualizes how brand innovation strengthens a company's intangible resources contributing to long-term market value. The discussion highlights the role of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and social media, in transforming brand innovation strategies, enhancing transparency, and fostering investor confidence.

This study underscores the strategic importance of brand innovation in driving corporate growth and attracting investment while offering insights for businesses and policymakers to effectively leverage innovation. Limitations and future research directions are proposed.

Keywords

Brand innovation; Stock market performance; Resource-based view; Signalling theory

Introduction

One of the topics that attracted the large attention of scholars and business managers is the influence of marketing activities on financial performance. As a key element of marketing, brand innovation plays a vital role in shaping the effectiveness of branding efforts. Brand innovation refers to the process of creating, developing, and implementing new ideas, strategies, or practices to enhance a brand's relevance, differentiation, and value in the marketplace (Leckie et al., 2016). Over the past decade, business leaders have increasingly prioritized brand innovation, recognizing it as one of the most valuable intangible assets (Keller & Lehmann, 2006).

Stock performance serves as a key indicators of a company's financial health and overall market valuation. The stock performance reflects investors' perceptions of the company's future profitability, competitive position, and risk profile, making it a forward-looking measure of financial performance. There are several studies proven that a company's brand serves as a competitive advantage and is a key determinant in its financial performance (Prajogo & Ahmed,

Citation: Yahan Sun. (2025) How does Branding Influence the Stock Performance? The Journal of Young Researchers 1(2): e20250423

Copyright: © 2025 Yahan Sun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received on March 20, 2025; Accepted on March 27, 2025; Published on April 23, 2025

2006). For example, scholars found innovation is positively related to profitability (Salavou, 2002), revenue growth (Oke et al., 2012), and customer loyalty (Foroudi et al., 2016). Since brand innovation is identified as a key factor influencing a company's financial performance, it is plausible that it may also impact stock performance. However, this relationship requires further analysis.

Given the differences in consumer behaviour and investor decision-making processes in China, this may lead to distinct outcomes compared than western context. Therefore, a study comparing stock market performance between China and international markets is essential.

Also, the rapid development of technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, and social media is transforming the ways companies innovate their brands and communicate value to stakeholders. However, how do these new brand innovation trends influence investors' perceptions and, consequently, stock performance remains underexplored?

Therefore, this dissertation aims to explore the intricate relationship between brand, innovation management, and stock market performance. Specifically, it aims to answer two research questions:

- 1) If brand innovation influence stock market performance?
- 2) If yes, how and why does brand innovation influence stock prices?

Understanding brand innovation and the stock market helps companies to conduct effective decision-making. For investors, it provides a framework to assess the potential long-term value of companies that prioritize innovation. Studying innovation also contributes to the existing literature by offering new perspectives on the financial returns of innovation and highlighting the importance of effective innovation management in driving stock market success.

The objectives of this research are divided into three parts: first, to review the existing body of literature on brand innovation and its financial implications; second, by applying the theoretical frameworks of Resource-Based View (RBV) theory and Signalling theory, this analysis explores the relationship between brand innovation and stock market performance, providing potential explanations for the observed links. Thirdly, to provide insights that can guide both corporate strategy and investment decisions. By achieving these objectives, this study contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of how innovation influences market value.

Literature review

The following section provides a comprehensive overview of the key concepts and theoretical frameworks relevant to this study. It begins by defining brand innovation, and stock performance. Next, the section introduces two foundational theoretical frameworks—the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Signaling Theory—to explain the mechanisms through which brand innovation influences stock market performance. Finally, it examines the specific links between brand innovation and the stock market.

Brand Innovation

The concept of branding is derived from the management field. Marketing refers to business activities, institutions, and processes involved in creating, communicating, delivering, and exchanging offerings that bring value to customers, clients, stakeholders, and society as a whole. Brand plays a crucial role in the process of marketing. It conveys information about firms or products to potential or current customers. Brand is defined as a unique name and/or symbol (like a logo, uniform, or packaging design) used to identify the goods or services of a seller, distinguishing the seller from other competitors (Kotler, et al., 2013). Innovation is the creation or development of new and more effective processes, services, products, and technologies, as well as the successful assimilation and exploitation of them (Kotler et al., 2013). The goal of brand innovation in this context is to

achieve a lasting competitive edge or enhance the organization's efficiency, ultimately leading to greater satisfaction in brand relationships (Naveed et al., 2013). Brand innovation involves making innovation an integral part of a coherent brand strategy, supported by actively managed brand-building programs (Aaker, 2007). Many scholars found the positive effect of brand innovation on enhancing consumer awareness, attitudes, and usage (Wreden, 2005; Andrews & Kim, 2007; Shiau, 2014).

Brand innovations play a key role in making sure customers notice, remember, and choose your products over those of competitors. The best brand innovations create a clear "promise" that appeals to buyers and gives your brand a unique identity. These innovations usually come from well-thought-out strategies that are carried out across multiple points of interaction between your business and customers. This includes everything from marketing and advertising to customer service, the overall shopping experience, and even how employees and partners behave. When done right, brand innovation can turn basic products into valuable and sought-after items, giving your brand deeper meaning and a stronger connection with customers.

The advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and the widespread use of social media have significantly transformed brand innovation. Scholars argued that AI-driven innovation has changed brand marketers' opportunities to enhance customer engagement, communication, and foster creativity (Kumar et al., 2023; Deryl, et al., 2023). Also, brands can leverage social media to showcase innovations, build interactive communities, and quickly adapt to consumer sentiment. These advancements are redefining the trends in brand innovation processes and strategies.

Numerous real-world business cases highlight the significant positive impact of brand innovation on enhancing company performance. For instance, Apple serves as a prime example of successful brand innovation. Over the years, the company has consistently introduced

groundbreaking products, including the iPod, iPhone, and Apple Vision Pro, which have significantly boosted its profitability and stock performance. Similarly, Coca-Cola has demonstrated brand innovation by adapting its product portfolio, such as launching zero-sugar cola and new flavor variations, to appeal to a broader customer base and drive growth.

In contrast, BlackBerry and Kodak illustrate the dangers of failing to innovate. BlackBerry's reluctance to embrace touchscreens and Kodak's delay in adopting digital photography led to their decline. These cases highlight that brands have to always keep innovative to follow the taste of consumers and even let their brand new product lead the whole market. Innovation is essential to develop strong brands (Kaplan, 2009), and now it is turning into a common practice of big companies with globally trustworthy brands to build favorable image among their customers.

Stock market

Definitions

The stock market is a crucial component of modern economies, serving as a platform for companies to raise capital and for investors to trade securities (Aggarwal, 2023). In finance, the stock market is the single most important market concerning corporate investment decisions (Fischer & Merton, 1984).

The stock market refers to all the stock exchanges where investors and companies buy and sell stock. A stock is defined as a type of investment that signifies ownership in a company. Each unit of stock is referred to as a share, with each share representing a portion of the company's equity. The more shares an individual holds, the larger their ownership stake becomes. The stock market, in turn, includes all the stock exchanges where these shares are actively bought and sold. These exchanges serve as platforms where buyers and sellers come together to trade stocks, often with the assistance of intermediaries who facilitate transactions on their behalf.

The stock market serves as a vital pillar in the economic framework of every country. It serves as a channel for capital provision and reflects the

overall health of the economy through fluctuations in security prices. As a key component of the capital market, the stock market mobilizes small savings from individuals to support larger funding needs for companies and organizations. Additionally, governments often rely on the stock market to raise capital for developing industries, fostering economic growth, and funding investment projects. By raising funds through the stock market, companies can increase their equity capital, helping them avoid expensive loans and stringent oversight from commercial banks.

Measures for stock performance

There are several indicators for evaluating the value of the stock, such as Price-to-Earnings (P/E) ratio, Price-to-Book (P/B) ratio, Earnings per share (EPS), Price-to-sales (P/S) ratio, return on equity (ROE), etc. Analysts use both historical statistics of these indicators and forward data to assess a company's growth potential. For example, while a high P/E suggests market optimism, a low P/E indicates expectations of stability or slower growth. However, the P/E ratio alone may not fully capture a stock's value. It should be used alongside other financial indicators and qualitative factors, such as industry trends and economic conditions, to provide a more holistic evaluation of a company's performance and prospects.

Stock prices are understood as the market prices at which investors can buy or sell shares, and they are inherently volatile, reflecting the dynamics of supply and demand. These market prices respond rapidly to fluctuations driven by both positive and negative news, which are directly influenced by investor sentiment (Uddin et al., 2013; Hung et al., 2019). However, in the context of long-term investments, short-term market price volatility may hold less significance.

Theoretical framework

Resource-Based View

The impact of brands as intangible assets on investment decisions, particularly in the performance of the stock market, can be effectively analyzed through the Resource-Based View and Signalling Theory.

The Resource-Based View (RBV) is a strategic perspective that emphasizes the role of internal resources and capabilities in creating competitive advantage (McGee, 2015; Miller, 2019). It posits that firms are heterogeneous bundles of resources and capabilities that are not easily imitated or transferred between organizations (Miller, 2019). The RBV proposes that in competitive markets, differentiation is achieved through superior internal organizational capabilities or competencies (Chaston, 2015). These resources and capabilities must be valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable to provide sustainable competitive advantage (Madhani, 2010). The RBV has evolved to include related concepts such as core competencies, strategic assets, and distinctive capabilities (McGee, 2015). Extensions of the RBV include the knowledge-based view, dynamic capabilities, and the relational view, which recognizes capabilities developed through inter-firm alliances (Miller, 2019). The RBV provides an 'inside-out' perspective on firm success, focusing on internal resources rather than external market factors (Madhani, 2010).

The resource-based view emphasizes the role of resources and capabilities in formulating strategies to achieve sustainable competitive advantage. Resources can be seen as inputs that enable a company to carry out activities. Internal resources and capabilities determine the company's competitive strategy choices in the external business environment. The company's capabilities also allow some companies to add value to the customer value chain, develop new products, or expand in new markets. RBV utilizes internal resources and capabilities within the organization to develop sustainable competitive advantages. According to RBV, not all resources of a company are strategic and therefore not a source of competitive advantage. Competitive advantage only arises when resource heterogeneity and resource immobility exist, that is when resources are unevenly distributed and difficult to move between companies (Madhani, 2010).

Signaling Theory

Signaling Theory is a way to understand how someone who knows more (the "sender") can share what they know with someone who knows less (the "receiver") when there is information failure occurs. This idea helps us understand how people show they are good at something or that they have something valuable by doing things that cost a lot or are hard to copy. Companies use things like ads, making their products well, and being nice to customers to show how good they are and that they can be trusted. For instance, fancy brands can show they make nice stuff by charging a lot of money and spending a lot on fancy advertisements.

Signaling theory plays a crucial role in influencing investment decisions by providing information about potential investments. Gender biases in risk capital investments can be understood through signaling theory, as gender affects the signals communicated in entrepreneur-investor relationships (Alsos & Ljunggren, 2016). Signaling theory has broad applications in management, psychology, and anthropology, with signals being negatively correlated with the productive ability to be effective (Karasek & Bryant, 2012). In online business reporting, nonfinancial content can serve as a signal influencing nonprofessional investors' perceptions of investment quality (Basoglu & Hess, 2014). In transitional markets, debt signaling affects a firm's market value, with interest rates and financial flexibility having robust signaling effects on investmentworthiness (Choi et al., 2005).

Links between brand innovation and stock performance

The stock market is shaped by a complex interaction of various factors. It is widely known that economic factors such as GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, and exchange rates, play a pivotal role in determining market trends (Hung et al., 2019; Mrunal Joshi, 2013). Also, company-specific factors, including earnings per share and overall financial performance, have a substantial effect on stock prices (Islam et al., 2015; Hung et al., 2019). Apart from financial and economic indicators, scholars also found that psychological and social-cultural factors may

influence investor sentiment (Painoli, 2019). This is in line with behavioral finance studies in which scholars attempt to explain business activities beyond traditional finance theories (Hesniati & Lasmiyanto, 2020). Individual investors can be biased while making investment decision-making, this may be caused by information asymmetry, availability bias, etc (Sattar, et al., 2020). Information asymmetry, a situation where one party has more information than another, is a fundamental concept in management and economics research (Bergh et al., 2018). Information asymmetry significantly impacts investors and asset pricing, as evidenced by several studies. (Kelly & Ljungqvist, 2009) Demonstrate that increased information asymmetry leads to lower stock prices and reduced demand from uninformed investors, with effects more pronounced in stocks with higher uncertainty and turnover. The availability bias is a cognitive bias that influences decision-making based on the ease with which examples come to mind (Wang, 2023). It can lead to overestimation of the likelihood of easily recalled events and underestimation of less salient ones (Jones et al., 1977). According to research, availability bias seems to have a strong impact on investment decisions in stock markets. Several studies have reported that this cognitive bias makes investors choose irrationally based on readily available information rather than a comprehensive analysis.

Discussion

Argument 1: Brand innovation increases the company's intangible assets, which encourages investment.

Firms that engage in greater brand innovation tend to foster more positive consumer perceptions of their brand's quality (Ertugrul et al., 2017). Brand innovations play a critical role in ensuring that customers recognize, remember, and prefer a company's offerings over those of competitors. These innovations emerge from carefully designed strategies including unique advertising, customer service, sales channels, and employee conduct. Effective brand innovations convey a compelling "promise" to consumers, delivering distinct identity and value. By transforming commodities into sought-after

products, brand innovations imbue offerings with meaning, intent, and value, thereby strengthening the overall perception of the brand and its enterprise. Moreover, effective innovation management involves fostering an organizational culture that supports continuous creativity and strategic experimentation. These intangible assets, in turn, inspire greater confidence among investors, who perceive innovative brands as well-positioned for long-term growth and profitability. Research suggests that strong brand equity can reduce perceived investment risks and enhance firm performance. Brand assets are associated with lower debtholder and shareholder risk. Consumer-based brand equity positively influences investment intentions by reducing perceived risk, particularly through perceived product quality (Macías Washington et al., 2015). These approaches enhance intangible assets such as brand assets and intellectual property, laying the foundation for long-term value creation. This dynamic highlights the critical link between brand innovation, consumer engagement, and enhanced investor confidence, ultimately contributing to superior stock performance.

Argument 2: Brand innovation makes the company rare and non-substitutable, thus increasing its unique resources and attracting more investors.

Brand innovation plays a crucial role in driving market share growth and competitive advantage. Research shows that branded producers are more innovative and create more value from innovation compared to non-branded counterparts (Clayton & Turner, 1998). Innovation is essential for business growth, with leading firms using it to generate significant revenue from new products (Tucker, 2002). Unique branding makes it challenging for competitors to offer equivalent alternatives. For instance, luxury brands such as Chanel or Louis Vuitton leverage brand innovation in fashion design and high-on hand-making products, maintaining their exclusivity and high perceived value. This rarity, driven by innovation, appeals to stock market investors who seek companies with distinctive offerings that have the potential for long-term profitability.

Argument 3: Brand innovation helps to convey positive information to investors, thus enhancing stock market performance.

From the perspective of signal theory, brand innovation is a signal of a company's potential and reliability, which can reduce investor uncertainty and enhance confidence in the company's future. Companies that invest in innovation are considered to have forward-thinking and adaptability, attracting growth-oriented investors. Although innovation may bring short-term fluctuations due to rising costs or the risk of failure, it also enables companies to manage long-term risks and maintain competitiveness. Therefore, investors often reward innovative companies with higher valuations, reflecting the close relationship between brand innovation and stock market success.

Brand innovation is more and more using social media and artificial intelligence to build a positive image and allure potential investors. Social media platforms allow for direct communication between organizations and their audience, sharing news and showcasing innovations in an instant. For example, companies like Tesla use Twitter to share news stay in touch with investors and so create a transparent story and optimistic narrative of a brand.

On the other hand, AI enables the company to tailor its messaging based on consumer data analyzed and trends predicted. The AI-powered tools, such as chatbots, boost customer interaction and optimize digital marketing efforts, further increasing the company's image as innovative and responsive.

Both social media and AI reduce information asymmetry by making relevant data more accessible to investors. Traditionally, the access of investors to a company's operations was quite limited. Now, with real-time updates and AI-driven insights, the potential of a company can be much more clearly understood by investors. This surely is a big plus in reducing perceived risks and enhancing investor confidence—making it much easier for companies to attract investment.

stock market operates under the assumption of perfect information, but in emerging markets like Vietnam, investors often receive incomplete or inconsistent information. As a result, the buying and selling decisions made by investors may not be based on accurate data, leading to stock prices that do not truly reflect a company's fundamental economic value. This disconnect can hinder the efficient allocation of resources.

Social media and news influence investor sentiment, which is increasingly recognized as a key driver of stock market changes (Shyam Sundar et al., 2023). Understanding these diverse factors is essential for investors, traders, and policymakers to make informed decisions and effectively manage risks in the stock market (Shyam Sundar et al., 2023; M. Islam et al., 2015).

Painoli (2019) found that except for past stock performance, dividend payments, financial statements, a company's reputation, and newspaper coverage also play a role in stock price. Shyam Sundar introduced earning news and social media will also affect the stock market. Studies show that announcements of positive earnings surprises lead to notable stock price increases. Additionally, companies with strong corporate governance, such as independent boards and institutional ownership, experience reduced risks of sudden stock price crashes following earnings reports. This suggests that good governance can enhance stability, as employees, especially those with stock options, are more likely to report financial misreporting, thereby maintaining investor confidence.

Research indicates that brand innovation positively impacts customer satisfaction, loyalty, identification, and stock performance. Brand innovativeness enhances perceived quality and customer satisfaction, leading to increased brand loyalty (Pappu & Quester, 2016). Product innovations, particularly pioneering ones, backed by substantial advertising support in large, growing categories, lead to favorable investor reactions and improved stock returns (Srinivasan & Anderson, 2007; Srinivasan et al., 2009). Customer satisfaction is identified as a

valuable intangible asset that generates positive abnormal returns, with firms scoring well on customer satisfaction outperforming the market even during pessimistic periods (Peng et al., 2014). Investors tend to undervalue intangible information like customer satisfaction, creating opportunities for superior returns (Peng et al., 2014). Customer loyalty means a deeply held commitment to repurchase or patronize a brand consistently. (Quester, 2016). This paper points out that consumers are more likely to be loyal to brands they perceive as innovative, but this effect is primarily due to the positive impact of perceived quality. In other words, if consumers view a brand as both innovative and high quality, they are more likely to remain loyal. So Innovative brands often foster deeper emotional connections with customers. Studies indicate that consumers are more likely to remain loyal to brands that consistently innovate (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001). More research shows that product innovation increases when brands have similar equity and use customer recognition strategies (Li, 2021). Brand innovation, measured by trademark registrations, predicts stock returns and triggers informed buying among investors (Han et al., 2019). Furthermore, individuals who identify with a company are more likely to invest in its shares, even with lower expected financial returns (Aspara & Tikkanen, 2010). Brand innovation, particularly through product development and trademark registrations, can positively influence customer identification. These findings highlight the importance of brand innovation and customer satisfaction, customer identification, and customer loyalty in driving stock performance and attracting investor interest.

However, the impact of customer recognition on innovation incentives varies depending on brand equity. When brands are of equal equity, customer recognition would raise innovation incentives for both brands. On the contrary, when brand equities are highly asymmetric, the stronger brands invest more in innovation and the weaker ones less. Possibly to the benefit of the former, harming the latter (Li, 2021). The results indicate that brand innovation serves to increase customer identification while, at the

same time, affecting investor decision-making, thus is an issue of great importance in the marketing and finance disciplines alike.

Argument 4: Brand innovation sometimes brings negative effect to stock market performance.

While innovation generally positively impacts stock returns, the relationship between branding innovation and market response to new product announcements is negative (Lei et al., 2013). Research on brand innovation and stock market performance has mixed results. Although trademark registrations can predict stock returns and trigger informed buying (Han et al., 2019), larger options trading volume associated with increased trademark launches often results in lower success rates and decreased firm value (Hsu et al., 2019). Contrary to expectations, strengthened branding capabilities can hurt the stock market reaction to new product announcements, possibly due to the higher expectations placed on investors (Lei et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the cumulative market returns related to innovation projects are significant, amounting to \$643 million on average per project, much higher than the returns resulting from isolated innovation events (Sood & Tellis, 2009). Notably, absolute returns for negative events are higher than those for positive events, and smaller firms typically experience larger returns compared to their larger peers (Sood & Tellis, 2009). These findings highlight the complex relationship between brand innovation and stock market performance.

Summary of findings

This research explores the relationship between brand innovation and stock market performance, to analyze how businesses utilize brand innovation to create value and interest, for potential investors. The study applies the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Signalling Theory to explain the mechanisms through which brand innovation influences stock performance. Key findings include:

1. Brand Innovation as an Intangible Resource

Brand innovation can be seen as a company's intangible asset. This asset strengthens the firm's competitive position by making the brand

distinctive, valuable, and challenging for competitors to replicate. From the perspective of the Resource-Based View (RBV), companies that prioritize innovation are better positioned to mitigate investment risks and attract more confident investors. For instance, firms like Apple consistently introduce innovative products and services, fostering a strong emotional connection with customers and bolstering investor confidence. By building trust and loyalty among consumers, innovative brands create an impression of long-term stability, reducing perceived risks and increasing their appeal in the equity market.

2. Signalling Positive Information to Investors

From the Signalling Theory perspective, brand innovation serves as a signaling mechanism whereby a firm signals its dependability, progressive orientation, and potential for expansion to investors. Companies that invest in innovation reveal their ability to adapt to changes in technology and consumer preferences, thereby increasing investors' confidence and quite often leading to higher stock valuations.

3. Media Coverage and Market Dissemination

Brand innovation tends to receive a lot of media attention, which amplifies its impact on investor perception and market visibility. The leading examples of successful innovations unleash a large public debate that further supports the brand image of an organization. For example, firms that can communicate their innovation plans through press releases, product demonstrations, and public events create a narrative of progress and growth, which is well received by investors.

Moreover, media coverage of innovation efforts can elevate the reputation of a company by portraying it as an industry leader. The added exposure not only attracts potential investors but also reinforces the confidence of the existing stakeholders. Companies with a strong control over their narrative of innovation can easily convert media attention into a great instrument to drive stock market performance.

4. Potential Risks of Brand Innovation

Though brand innovation is normally associated with positive outcomes, it also provides inherent risks that the organization will have to maneuver with care. Highly visible innovations create higher expectations among investors; the failure to meet the expectations can lead to negative reactions in the stock market. In this context, a proper example can be cited from the Samsung group: after problems relating to batteries in Galaxy Note 7, there was a substantial loss in stock value.

Moreover, innovation-related activities could bring about temporary financial ups and downs since they usually involve high up-front costs of research, development, and marketing. The burden of these costs may occasionally weigh on a firm's financial performance and could spook investors who are not inclined to take risks. These risks can be mitigated through well-rounded risk management strategies that include market research by organizations, initial testing of new products, and effective communication of potential challenges with all stakeholders. Proactively addressing such risks will ensure that the innovation efforts of the companies create sustainable value without eroding the confidence of the investors.

Conclusion

Based on the findings of this study, several practical suggestions are proposed for business managers, policymakers, and investors to address the key challenges and opportunities identified. By aligning practical measures with the insights gained from the research, this discussion helps stakeholders to navigate complex business environments and achieve long-term success.

First, to maintain competitiveness, it is essential for business managers to conduct brand innovation. By doing this, managers can utilize brand innovation to differentiate themselves from their competitors and increase market share, which leads to higher customer loyalty. This innovation requires commitment to continuous evolution and adaptation in response to the

emergence of changing market conditions and consumer preferences.

Furthermore, innovation inherently involves risks, as new initiatives may occasionally fail to meet expectations or result in temporary fluctuations in stock market performance. To address these challenges, business managers should implement comprehensive risk management strategies, encompassing contingency planning, rigorous market research, and consumer testing before product launches. Also, fostering transparent and consistent communication with stakeholders, including investors, is essential for managing expectations and maintaining trust, particularly during periods of uncertainty or adversity.

Moreover, to remain competitive, businesses must remain proactive in tracking emerging trends and technological advancements, such as artificial intelligence, big data, and digital marketing. Integrating these advancements into their innovation strategies can help companies identify new opportunities and strengthen their market position.

For policymakers, it is recommended to provide financial incentives and reduce regulatory barriers to foster an environment conducive to innovation. Supporting research and development initiatives should be a priority, ensuring that both large corporations and small startups have the resources and opportunities to innovate.

Investors should prioritize companies with a demonstrated commitment to innovation, as these firms are more likely to sustain long-term growth and success. When assessing investment opportunities, it is essential to evaluate a company's innovation strategy, the scalability of its products or services, and the broader market trends that may influence its capacity for innovation. This requires a thorough understanding of technological advancements, evolving market dynamics, and industry-specific challenges that could impact the company's innovation trajectory.

One of the key limitations of the study is its dependence on stock market performance as the primary measure of success. Though stock market performance is a key indicator, it may not fully capture the broad impact of brand innovation on consumer satisfaction, employee engagement, or long-term brand loyalty. Future research could also be done based on a wider range of metrics, such as customer feedback, brand equity, and employee retention, to provide more comprehensive insight into the impacts of innovation.

This also implies the possibility of theoretical bias, as the underlying study will largely use existing frameworks and literature reviews as its basis in explaining the connection between brand innovation and stock market performance. While such theories provide good grounding, the findings from this study require further research for their empirically needed substantiation. Longitudinal designs could thus be used in further studies: for instance, tracking the stock market performance of innovative strategies over periods of years; or it could be done in case study form, documenting how strategies are implemented, and analyzing their effects on stock price.

Another limitation could be a non-generalization across industries. Since the impacts of innovation vary among the different sectors, findings could not apply with equal force. Innovation affects industries differently. For example, the impact that will result from brand innovation will be greater in technology-driven fields than in traditional manufacturing areas. This limitation could be overcome by future research that focuses on specific industries in which brand innovation influences stock market performance, such as technology, finance, healthcare, and consumer goods.

Conflict of Interests: the author has claimed that no conflict of interest exists.

References

1. Aaker, D. (2007). Innovation: Brand it or Lose it. *California Management Review*, 50(1), 8–24.
2. Aggarwal, A. (2023). How Special are Special Economic Zones: Evidence from South Asia. *The World Economy*, 46(8). <https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.13383>
3. Alsos, G. A., & Ljunggren, E. (2016). The Role of Gender in Entrepreneur-Investor Relationships: A Signalling Theory Approach. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(4), 567–590. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12226>
4. Andrews, M., & Kim, D. (2007). Revitalizing suffering multinational brands: an empirical study. *International Marketing Review*, 24(3), 350–372. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02651330710755339>
5. B. Hajipour, & Bagheri, M. (2017). The Impact of Socio-Cultural Innovation and Brand Management on Financial Performance and Brand Performance of the Companies Listed in Tehran Stock Exchange. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Impact-of-Socio-Cultural-Innovation-and-Brand-Hajipour-Bagheri/3d1b76a5ee841bdcf3a8b2d25525f791ba0ebc37>
6. Basoglu, K. A., & Hess, T. J. (2014). Online Business Reporting: A Signaling Theory Perspective. *Journal of Information Systems*, 28(2), 67–101. <https://doi.org/10.2308/isys-50780>
7. Beverland, M. B., Napoli, J., & Farrelly, F. (2010). Can All Brands Innovate in the Same Way? A Typology of Brand Position and Innovation Effort*. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27(1), 33–48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2009.00698.x>
8. Bevilacqua, R., Freitas, V., & de Paula, V. (2020). Innovation and Brands: The Managers' Perspective in a Multiple Case Study in a Brazilian Region. *Brazilian Business Review*, 17(6), 686–705. <https://doi.org/10.15728/bbr.2020.17.6.5>
9. Choi, C. J., Cheng, P., & T. Eldomiaty. (2018). Testing Debt Signalling Hypothesis for Making Investment Decisions in Transitional Market: Evidence from Egypt. *ERN: Intertemporal Firm Choice & Growth*.

- <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Testing-Debt-Signaling-Hypothesis-for-Making-in-Choi-Cheng/184b78169b3cb5f89839d9ca04904b58bffa5c8>
10. Deryl, M. Ds., Verma, S., & Srivastava, V. (2023). How does AI drive branding? Towards an integrated theoretical framework for AI-driven branding. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 3(2), 100205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjime.2023.100205>
 11. Fischer, S., & Merton, R. C. (1984). Macroeconomics and finance: The role of the stock market. *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy*, 21, 57–108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2231\(84\)90005-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2231(84)90005-8)
 12. Foroudi, P., Jin, Z., Gupta, S., Melewar, T. C., & Foroudi, M. M. (2016). Influence of innovation capability and customer experience on reputation and loyalty. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), 4882–4889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.047>
 13. Goel, A., Tripathi, V., & Agarwal, M. (2020). Information asymmetry and stock returns. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 18(1), 85–112. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jamr-05-2020-0084>
 14. Han, S.-O., Hsu, P.-H., & Huh, S.-W. (2019). Brand Innovation and Informed Trading. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3465304>
 15. Hung, N. K., Tuan, G. Q., Mai, T., Thang, L. D., & Hien, N. A. (2019). Key Factors Affecting the Stock Price of Enterprises Listed On Ho Chi Minh Stock Exchange. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 23(6).
 16. Islam, Md. S., Salam, M. A., & Hasan, Md. M. (2015). Factors Affecting the Stock Price Movement: A Case Study on Dhaka Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 10(10). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v10n10p253>
 17. Joshi, M. C. (2013). Factors Affecting Indian Stock Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2238539>
 18. Keller, K. L., & Lehmann, D. R. (2006). Brands and Branding: Research Findings and Future Priorities. *Marketing Science*, 25(6), 740–759.
 19. Kelly, B. T., & Ljungqvist, A. (2011). Testing Asymmetric-Information Asset Pricing Models. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1324873>
 20. Kotler, P., Armstrong, G., Harris, L., & Piercy, N. (2013). *Principles of marketing* (6th ed.). Pearson.
 21. Krishnan, S., & Krishnan, L. (2023). Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Project Delivery and Implementation. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4622049>
 22. Kumar, S., Lim, W. M., Sivarajah, U., & Kaur, J. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain Integration in Business: Trends from a Bibliometric-Content Analysis. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-022-10279-0>
 23. Leckie, C., Nyadzayo, M. W., & Johnson, L. W. (2016). Antecedents of consumer brand engagement and brand loyalty. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 32(5-6), 558–578. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257x.2015.1131735>
 24. Lei, X., Ye, T., & Abimbola, T. (2013). The role of branding capability for innovative companies. *Nankai Business Review International*, 4(4), 329–348. <https://doi.org/10.1108/nbri-01-2013-0001>
 25. Li, K. J. (2021). Product Innovation with Customer Recognition. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3879129>
 26. Liu, H. (2023). Research on Applications of Availability Heuristics. *Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences*, 21(1), 165–169. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/21/20230249>
 27. Madhani, P. M. (2010). Resource Based View (RBV) of Competitive Advantage: An Overview. *Semantic Scholar*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Res>

- source-Based-View-(RBV)-of-Competitive-Advantage%3A-Madhani/ace173baf78ddecd7cbe481d9a6a0159fab3464b
28. McGee, J. (2015). Resource-Based View. Wiley Encyclopedia of Management, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118785317.wom120134>
 29. Miller, D. (2019). The Resource-Based View of the Firm. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Business and Management. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190224851.013.4>
 30. Mine Ertugrul, Krishnan, K., & Xu, B. (2017). Innovation and Product Market Value: Evidence from Brand Data. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Innovation-and-Product-Market-Value%3A-Evidence-from-Ertugrul-Krishnan/2a53dc23249a02581a3963cd883e0302e9988e94>
 31. NGUYEN, T. M. H., NGUYEN, T. K. T., & NGUYEN, T. T. V. (2020). Factors Affecting the Implementation of Environmental Accounting by Construction Companies Listed on the Ho Chi Minh Stock Exchange. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 7(8), 269–280. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no8.269>
 32. Oke, A., Walumbwa, F. O., & Myers, A. (2012). Innovation Strategy, Human Resource Policy, and Firms' Revenue Growth: The Roles of Environmental Uncertainty and Innovation Performance*. Decision Sciences, 43(2), 273–302. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2011.00350.x>
 33. Pappu, R., & Quester, P. (2015). Brand Innovativeness Effects on Perceived Quality, Satisfaction and Loyalty. Looking Forward, Looking Back: Drawing on the Past to Shape the Future of Marketing, 763–763. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24184-5_185
 34. Pappu, R., & Quester, P. G. (2016). How does brand innovativeness affect brand loyalty? European Journal of Marketing, 50(1/2), 2–28. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-01-2014-0020>
 35. Peng, C.-L., Lai, K.-L., Chen, M.-L., & Wei, A.-P. (2015). Investor sentiment, customer satisfaction, and stock returns. European Journal of Marketing, 49(5/6), 827–850. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-01-2014-0026>
 36. Prajogo, D. I., & Ahmed, P. K. (2006). Relationships between innovation stimulus, innovation capacity, and innovation performance. R&D Management, 36(5), 499–515. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9310.2006.00450.x>
 37. Reid, R. D., & Bojanic, D. C. (2010). Hospitality marketing management. John Wiley & Sons.
 38. Salavou, H. (2002). Profitability in market-oriented SMEs: does product innovation matter? European Journal of Innovation Management, 5(3), 164–171. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14601060210436736>
 39. Shiau, H.-C. (2014). The Impact of Product Innovation on Behaviour Intention: The Measurement of the Mediating Effect of the Brand Image of Japanese Anime Dolls. The Anthropologist, 17(3), 777–788. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720073.2014.11891492>
 40. Srinivasan, S., & Anderson, A. G. (2007). Product Innovations, Marketing Investments, and Stock Returns.
 41. Srinivasan, S., Pauwels, K., Silva-Risso, J., & Hanssens, D. M. (2009). Product Innovations, Advertising, and Stock Returns. Journal of Marketing, 73(1), 24–43. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.73.1.024>
 42. Sundar J., S., Dhyani, B., & Chhajer, P. (2023). Factors Affecting Stock Market Movements: An Investors Perspective. European Economic Letters, Vol. 13 No. 1 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v13i1.172>
 43. Uddin, Md. S., de Ruyter van Steveninck, E., Stuip, M., & Shah, M. A. R. (2013). Economic valuation of provisioning and cultural services of a protected mangrove ecosystem: A case study on Sundarbans Reserve Forest, Bangladesh. Ecosystem Services, 5, 88–93.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2013.07.002>

44. Washington, M., Shirley, E., Lisset, G., & Regina, R. (2015). Students perceived risk and investment intention: the effect of brand equity. *Management & Marketing*, 10(3), 208–225. <https://doi.org/10.1515/mmcks-2015-0015>
45. Wreden, N. (2007). Profit brand: how to increase the profitability, accountability & sustainability of your brand. Kogan Page.